

REMARKS

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed November 17, 2005. Claims 1-8 and 10-14 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-8 and 10-14. The present response amends claims 1, 10, and 11, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1-8 and 10-14. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. Claims 1, 2, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Martin, Jr. et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,610,105.

In the Response to Arguments, Examiner indicates that, in regards to claims 1, 2, and 12-14, "the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., dynamic content) are not recited in the rejected claim(s)". Accordingly, independent claim 1 has been amended to explicitly recite that claimed invention is for "dynamic" data detection from Web content information for mobile devices and a content portion from the Web page data file is detected "dynamically in real time" before such content data is searched and displayed at subsequent steps. Therefore *Martin*, which displays static Web content, cannot anticipate the present invention in independent claim 1. Since claims 2, 12 -14 depend on claim 1, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) be withdrawn.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

1. Claims 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Martin* in view of *De Boor et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,675,204.

Prima facie obviousness rejection requires the Examiner to show that the prior art alone or in combination teaches or suggests all elements of the claimed invention. *De Boor* teaches “a wireless communication device with a markup language based man-machine interface for telecommunication functionality” (Abstract). It does not teach dynamic data detection from Web content information as claimed by the present invention in claim 1. *Martin* cannot anticipate the dynamic content detection in claim 1 either as discussed earlier. Since claims 3-5 and 7 depend on claim 1, *Martin* in view of *De Boor* cannot render claims 3-5 and 7 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) for at least this reason, and Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection with respect to these claims be withdrawn.

2. Claims 10, 11, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Martin* in view of *Buckham et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,662,016), referred to herein as *Buckham*.

In the Response to Arguments, Examiner indicates that, in regards to claims 10, 11, 6, and 8, “the applicant is relying on limitations, which are not cited in the claims such content on a mobile device “having limited display space”. Accordingly, independent claims 1, 10 and 11 have been amended to explicitly recite that content is displayed on the mobile device “having limited display space”. Since neither *Martin* nor *Buckham* teaches display of content on a mobile device having limited display space, and claims 6 and 8 depend on claim 1, *Martin* in view of *Buckham* cannot render claims 10, 11, 6, and 8 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) for at least this reason, and Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection with respect to these claims be withdrawn.


CONCLUSION

In light of the above, it is respectfully requested that all outstanding rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this reply, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/13/06

By: 
David T. Xue
Reg. No. 54,554

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800
Facsimile: (415) 362-2928
Customer No. 23910